



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/950,963	10/15/1997	JOEL A. DREWES	074022-3302	9997
7590 11/30/2004		EXAMINER		
Richard J Warburg, Esq.			MARSCHEL, ARDIN H	
Foley & Lardner 402 W. Broadway, 23rd floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Diego, CA 92101-3542			1631	
			DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		08/950,963	DREWES ET AL.			
Office Action	n Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ardin Marschel	1631			
The MAILING DAT Period for Reply	E of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE MAILING DATE OF  - Extensions of time may be availated after SIX (6) MONTHS from the property of the period for reply specified at a lf NO period for reply is specified.  - Failure to reply within the set or expected.	THIS COMMUNICATION.  Able under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 mailing date of this communication.  Boove is less than thirty (30) days, a reply above, the maximum statutory period we extended period for reply will, by statute, later than three months after the mailing	'IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH  (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONI date of this communication, even if timely file	imely filed  lys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		·				
1)⊠ Responsive to com	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 September 2004</u> .					
2a) This action is FINA	)☑ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.					
,—	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above classification (s) 3,4,18,19 6) Claim(s) 1,2,5-12,2 7) Claim(s) 25,55,57,	9,23,25-34 and 36-61 is/are aim(s) is/are withdraw and 51-55 is/are allowed. 23,26-34,36-50,56,58, & 60 59 and 61 is/are objected to subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration. is/are rejected.				
Application Papers						
10) The drawing(s) filed Applicant may not red Replacement drawing	quest that any objection to the og sheet(s) including the correcti	t.  cepted or b) □ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obtained. Note the attached Office	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19					
12) Acknowledgment is  a) All b) Some  1. Certified cop  2. Certified cop  3. Copies of the application fr	made of a claim for foreign  * c) None of: ies of the priority documents ies of the priority documents e certified copies of the prior om the International Bureau	have been received in Applicatity documents have been receiv	tion No red in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	A) []	4/DTO 442)			
	nt Drawing Review (PTO-948) nent(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal I 6)  Other:				

Art Unit: 1631

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicants' arguments, filed 9/16/04, have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

# IMPROPER MULTIPLE DEPENDENCE

Claim 61 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim.

See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim 61 has not been further treated on the merits.

#### **NEW MATTER**

Claims 56, 58, and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 56, 58, and 60 also contain the NEW MATTER of requiring channels which are not interconnected whereas the written support as filed as on page 24, lines 18-22, is limited to no interconnections "producing a flow that is laminar in character". The broader limitations in said claims 56, 58, and 60 lacks said producing... limitations and thus introduce NEW MATTER. This rejection is necessitated by amendment.

Art Unit: 1631

## **OBVIOUSNESS-TYPE DOUBLE PATENTING**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Eeffective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 2, 5-12, 23, 26-34, and 36-50 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 51, 52, 54, 55, 57-63, 66-68, 70, 71, 73-79, and 82 of copending Application No. 09/675,518. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of reasons of record which have not been argued by applicants.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

#### **CLAIM OBJECTIONS**

Claims 25, 55, 57, and 59 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1631

Claims 3, 4, 18, 19, and 51-54 are allowable.

Applicants' amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the Central PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The Central PTO Fax Center number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0718. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (571) 272-0722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Legal Instrument Examiner, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0549.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 1631

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

November 26, 2004

Ardin H. Marshel 11/26/04 ARDIN H. MARSCHEL PRIMARY EXAMINER